From Scholar to Extremist: Examining Ethical Violations in a PhD Student's Online Presence

Whistleblower, University of Gothenburg, Sweden

Abstract: This article examines a series of problematic Twitter posts by Weixing Zhang, a PhD student at the University of Gothenburg, exposing serious ethical concerns, including misogyny, sexual coercion, racism, and misinformation. Through a detailed analysis of his statements, the article demonstrates how his rhetoric promotes gender-based violence, dehumanizes women, and reinforces harmful stereotypes that undermine principles of equality and academic integrity. Additionally, Weixing Zhang engages in racist discourse targeting specific ethnic and religious groups and advocates for unsafe sexual behaviors that pose public health risks. The evidence presented raises urgent questions about the responsibility of academic institutions in addressing such conduct, particularly when it contradicts institutional values and professional ethics. This article calls for stronger accountability in higher education to prevent the normalization of harmful ideologies within academic spaces.

Keywords: Misogyny, sexual coercion, racism, social media ethics, gender discrimination

1. Introduction

While universities uphold freedom of speech and intellectual discourse, they also have a responsibility to enforce ethical standards, particularly when faculty or students disseminate harmful or discriminatory content. This article examines a series of controversial Twitter posts by Weixing Zhang, a PhD student at the University of Gothenburg. These widely circulated posts contain misogynistic, racist, and sexually explicit content, raising serious ethical concerns.

Through a detailed analysis, this article demonstrates how Weixing Zhang's rhetoric reinforces harmful stereotypes, encourages discrimination, and potentially violates both university codes of conduct and broader ethical norms. By documenting these concerns, this article provides a well-founded basis for institutional accountability and possible disciplinary action. It also highlights the broader risks of unchecked hate speech and unethical discourse within academic spaces, calling for stricter enforcement of professional integrity on social media platforms.

All content analyzed in this article comes exclusively from publicly available online posts. No confidential or private information has been accessed, shared, or disclosed. This analysis aims to evaluate the ethical concerns raised by these statements while ensuring transparency and accountability without infringing on individual privacy rights.

The remainder of this article is structured as follows. Section 2 introduces Weixing Zhang and establishes the link between him and the social media accounts. Next, his recent posts are analyzed to illustrate their conflicts with ethical standards and hazard labels in Section 3. Finally, Section 4 summarizes the key findings of this article. A complete translation of his problematic posts from recent months, along with corresponding ethical concerns, is provided in the Appendix.

2. Weixing Zhang and His Public Social Media Accounts

2.1 A Short Bio of Weixing Zhang

Weixing (伟星) Zhang (张) is a final-year PhD student in the Department of Computer Science and Engineering at the University of Gothenburg. He obtained his master's degree from Beijing Jiaotong University, China, in 2013 and Licentiate of Engineering degree from the University of Gothenburg in 2023.

As reported in an online report (see https://www.sohu.com/a/363969614_349115), Weixing Zhang was born in **Zhangjiawu** (张家坞), Fuyuan Village, Fenshui Town, Tonglu County, **Zhejiang** Province, China. **Zhangjiawu** (张家坞) can be interpreted as follows:

- **张家 (Zhangjia)** means "Zhang Family," indicating that the place is historically associated with the Zhang surname.
- **坞 (Wu)** traditionally refers to a **fortified village, a small valley, or a settlement**. It can imply a **natural depression, a village surrounded by hills, or a defensive stronghold in historical contexts**.

Thus, 张家坞 likely refers to a **small village or settlement associated with the Zhang family, possibly located in a valley or a secluded area**.



张伟星，毕业于北京交通大学自动化工学硕士。2013年3月，参与重载列车智能化操控系统项目开发工作，2014年4月至11月期间任该项目负责人，带领团队为公司研制出样机，该项目顺利通过科技部中期检查。2015年4月离开浙大网新，进入杭州海康威视数字技术股份有限公司从事软件研发工作。

文字：王顺庆

本期内容由县委党史研究室提供

编辑：戴江影

Figure 1: A brief introduction of Weixing Zhang's educational background and work experience. Source: https://www.sohu.com/a/363969614_349115

2.2 Weixing Zhang's Public Social Media Accounts

Weixing Zhang operates the Twitter account @Hammer_EU_9527 (https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527). He adopted "Hammer" as part of his Twitter handle due to his popularity on Zhihu, a Chinese platform similar to Reddit, where he went by the name "Hammer" (大锤) and amassed over 100,000 followers.

Figure 2: Weixing Zhang's Twitter Profile

As shown in Figure 2, Weixing Zhang described himself in his profile as follows:

> 1. A man from **a small valley in Zhejiang Province**, China— a self-proclaimed country bumpkin and child of farmers—who has traveled to more than twenty Western countries.
>
> 2. Currently residing in Sweden and focused on **immigration policies**.
>
> 3. A disciple of Marxism, **initiator of the "training women through sex" concept**, and **founder of the "Chinese Men Helping Chinese Men" movement**.
>
> 4. Occasionally deactivates Twitter.
>
> 5. **WeChat ID: minjie_880101**, public WeChat account: "**大龄青年硕博留学**" (**Start Graduate Studies Abroad for Older Persons**) (Please do not add me unless you plan to **pay for consultation**; I will remove you otherwise).

Initially, Weixing Zhang used his Twitter account to share content related to "**Runology**"—a term referring to strategies and information about emigrating from China to Western countries, primarily through pursuing master's or doctoral studies.

However, in recent months, his focus has shifted dramatically. He has begun propagating the concept of **"Sexual Dominance Theory"**, i.e., training women through sex, alongside posts that promote misogyny, sexual coercion, racism, and misinformation. These deeply troubling ethical concerns form the primary motivation for this article. As shown in Figure 3, Weixing Zhang explained six reasons why he was quitting "Runology" (emigration strategies):

1. Many people only want to escape China's society but lack the ability or courage to thrive abroad.
2. People from Guangdong, Fujian, and **Zhejiang** Provinces—if they want to emigrate—either have money, overseas relatives, or can endure hardships. They don't need my posts.



Figure 3: Six reasons why I'm quitting "Runology" (emigration strategies). Source: https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1888922027819679817

3. What about inland and northern China? Most people don't have money, and only a few can emigrate through education or skilled migration. Others must start with low-wage jobs, like Fuzhou migrants working in restaurants 10+ hours a day or Wenzhou workers in factories. Many northerners and inlanders can't endure such labor and complain about exploitation when work hours exceed 10 hours.
4. **Western countries offer many funded pathways, such as sponsorship for master's study, PhD study, or high-skilled job visas**—but most Chinese aren't qualified to secure these opportunities.
5. My emigration advice posts are constantly stolen by Chinese social media without credit or protection, which frustrates me.
6. **Discussing "Sexual Dominance Theory" (操学) is more effective**—it **gets way more Internet traffic** and **genuinely helps people**. Plus, it **can't be copied by Chinese influencers** because my posts are **filled with explicit terms** and would get **censored in China**. **Hahaha.**

In addition to his Twitter account, Weixing Zhang operates a YouTube channel (@BigHammer-bm3bm), where he shares videos on "Runology"—guiding individuals on emigration strategies from China to other countries. As illustrated in Figure 4, he promoted his YouTube channel through a Twitter post, specifically targeting those interested in relocating to destinations such as Japan.

Figure 4: Weixing Zhang promoted his YouTube channel through a Twitter post. Source: https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1768080960413716486

On his YouTube channel, Weixing Zhang has shared four videos detailing how he successfully emigrated from China to Sweden by securing a PhD position at the University of Gothenburg.

1. https://youtu.be/ruYs6uBmAc8?list=PL_trX0jpfqYfMkrop1TS6IcYhXl2F1djo
2. https://youtu.be/wTrso2BzQes?list=PL_trX0jpfqYfMkrop1TS6IcYhXl2F1djo
3. https://youtu.be/UCcRmIZWLI8?list=PL_trX0jpfqYfMkrop1TS6IcYhXl2F1djo
4. https://youtu.be/XcrV0dTOkzU?list=PL_trX0jpfqYfMkrop1TS6IcYhXl2F1djo

For those familiar with him, his voice in these videos is easily recognizable. Additionally, a screenshot from one of his videos reveals distinguishing **facial features, such as two moles on the left side of his face** (see Figure 5). Furthermore, there is a high likelihood that these videos

were recorded in his office, as the ceiling shape and the wall switch closely resemble those seen in previous images of his workspace.



Figure 5: A screenshot of Weixing Zhang's YouTube video where clearly shows his facial characteristics.

3. A Summary of Ethical Concerns of Weixing Zhang’s Twitter Posts

Over the course of multiple posts on Twitter, Weixing Zhang has:

1. **Advocated for sexual coercion** – Encouraging men to pressure women into sex, dismissing the importance of consent, and framing sexual relationships as a form of control.
2. **Spread misogynistic and degrading views about women** – Objectifying women, trivializing their autonomy, and promoting harmful gender stereotypes.
3. **Expressed racist and xenophobic views** – Making derogatory remarks about Middle Eastern and Muslim communities, as well as dehumanizing individuals who engage in interracial relationships.
4. **Encouraged unsafe sexual behavior** – Dismissing the risks of sexually transmitted diseases, promoting unprotected sex, and undermining public health concerns.
5. **Engaged in unethical financial practices** – Attempting to monetize harmful relationship “coaching” that promotes manipulation and control.
6. **Spread misinformation and pseudoscience** – Citing false academic claims to justify misogynistic beliefs, which misrepresents scientific research and damages intellectual discourse.

In the following subsections, only one or two Twitter posts will be presented as examples of ethical violations. A more detailed translation of each post, along with a comprehensive analysis of its ethical concerns, can be found in the Appendix.

3.1 Advocated for Sexual Coercion

As shown in Figure 6, Weixing Zhang talked about his own experience. He said:

Actually, I have never agreed with the idea of "pursuing" girls. From my own experience, among all my ex-girlfriends, only one was actually won over through a traditional courtship process—with confessions, promises, and several days of discussing real-life matters like buying an apartment before she agreed to be my girlfriend. But ironically, she was the only one who never let me fucking her and eventually cheated on me. for all my other ex-girlfriends, I simply held their hands on the first meeting, and most of them ***ended up in bed with me*** *that very day. Every one of them was affectionate and loyal.* ***Paying for a hotel room was never an issue—whoever had money covered it.*** *Talking about buying an apartment in the future was never a problem either—****they would do their best to contribute.***

When I got married, one of my ex-girlfriends even drove two hours with her boyfriend just to attend my wedding and even added my wife on WeChat.

That's why I always tell men: ***You don't need to "pursue" a girlfriend; you just need to attract one.***

*A couple of months ago, I already posted about this—****when you take a girl out, as long as the mood is okay, just grab her hand. Be firm, hold on tight. If she tries to shake you off twice and doesn't succeed, then it's basically a done deal.***

*When you're out together and the atmosphere is good, kiss her when the moment is right (****make sure your breath is fresh beforehand****). During the kiss, if you sense that she's emotionally engaged, then* ***just take her home that night and fuck her—don't worry about whether she verbally rejects you or not. As long as she doesn't physically run away, that means she's giving silent consent.***

If she acts coy during the kiss, she's probably already ***wet down there—you just need to help relieve her itch.***

If you're dropping her off at her place, just ***stay over and don't leave.*** *As long as she doesn't kick you out,* ***classic excuses like "I'll sleep on the floor, you take the bed" or "I'll just rub against you but won't go in" always work.***

Did you get it?

This post involves several ethical concerns:



Figure 6: An example of promoting sexual coercion. Source: https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1899015095486722352

- **Potentially Promoting Sexual Coercion and Disregarding Consent**
  - The post teaches men to ignore verbal rejection and implies that if a woman does not physically escape, she is silently consenting. This is a clear violation of ethical and legal sexual consent principles.
  - It encourages persistence despite resistance, which can be seen as coercion or even assault.
- **Reinforces Harmful Gender Norms and Objectification**
  - The writer reduces women to passive participants in sexual encounters, rather than emphasizing mutual respect, desire, and communication.
  - The "trickery" approach (e.g., "**I'll just rub against you but won't go in**") trivializes boundaries and consent.
- **Spreads Manipulative Tactics for Sexual Encounters**
  - The post promotes psychological and physical tactics to pressure women into sex rather than encouraging healthy relationships.
  - The "firm hand-holding" technique to test resistance is especially concerning—it teaches men to push past boundaries instead of respecting a woman's comfort.

3.2 Spread Misogynistic and Degrading Views about Women

As shown in Figure 7, Weixing Zhang emphasized that:

There is no friendship between men and women! If you want to fuck her, just do it. Stop with the 'let's be friends first, then lovers' nonsense, and don't believe in 'feelings developing over time.' The more fake you act, the easier it is for women to manipulate you.

If you're getting close to a woman, it's ultimately because you want to fuck her. Men should be more direct—have some aggression and fuck her as soon as possible. That's the most masculine and responsible thing to do!

Did everyone understand?



Figure 7: An example of spreading misogynistic. Source: https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1888021311894458512

This post involves several ethical concerns:

- **Advocacy of Sexual Coercion & Disregard for Consent** – The post blatantly encourages men to be aggressive in their pursuit of women, disregarding the importance of mutual consent. The phrase **"趁早把她日了" (fuck her as soon as possible)** dangerously implies entitlement to a woman's body, which is an endorsement of predatory behavior.
- **Misogynistic & Dehumanizing Perspective** – The post reduces women to sexual objects, dismissing any notion of genuine friendship or emotional connection. It portrays relationships purely as a conquest rather than a mutual partnership.
- **Toxic Masculinity & Promotion of Harmful Gender Stereotypes** – The notion that **"having aggression"** and **"fucking her as soon as possible"** is **"the most masculine and responsible thing"** reinforces an extremely toxic idea of masculinity. It pressures men to behave in a domineering, forceful manner rather than fostering respect and emotional intelligence in relationships.
- **Encouragement of Manipulative & Harmful Social Behaviors** – The post portrays relationships as a power struggle, claiming that men who approach women respectfully are being "manipulated." This promotes a mindset where men see women as adversaries rather than equal partners.
- **Dangerous Advice for Young & Impressionable Readers –** Given that this was posted publicly and appears to have a following, it poses a serious risk by encouraging young men to adopt coercive and aggressive behaviors in their interactions with women.

3.3 Expressed Racist and Xenophobic Views

As shown in Figure 8, Weixing Zhang claimed that:

> *None of the Middle Eastern M**uslim countries have a promising future**. Genetically speaking, **they are not stupid, but God is fair**—they have **a "bad" national character**, and **this is the fundamental reason for their lack of development.***
>
> *In the future, t**hey will continue to be crushed by Christian and Jewish nations**, begging for mercy. **It's nothing surprising—it's just the norm.***
>
> *On the other hand, **African countries have a bright future**, especially **those that sincerely admire and learn from China, like Rwanda.***



Figure 8: An example of expressing racist. Source: https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1890536208750567896

This post involves several ethical concerns:

- **Religious discrimination** – The post **targets Muslim-majority countries** with sweeping negative generalizations, implying **religious inferiority**.
- **Racial superiority narrative** – The idea that **certain nations are "destined" to be dominated** promotes harmful, divisive thinking.
- **Historical colonial rhetoric** – The phrase **"crushed by Christian and Jewish nations"** echoes themes of **imperialism and religious conquest**.
- **Unsubstantiated genetic claims** – The claim that a **"bad national character"** prevents development is not based on any scientific or sociological consensus.
- **Political bias** – The **selective praise of Rwanda for aligning with China** introduces **geopolitical bias** rather than a neutral analysis of development factors.

As shown in Figure 9, Weixing Zhang shared a photo of a murdered young woman obtained from her Instagram to express xenophobic sentiments. The woman was tragically killed while studying in the United States (news source: https://nypost.com/2025/02/14/us-news/california-calarts-student-menghan-zhuang-chinese-national-murdered-in-la-condo/). Weixing Zhang posted:

> *Some people like to act as so-called **"rational centrists"** for no reason. Let me put it this way—**this kind of woman isn't necessarily "turning bad"; it's just that, as a Chinese man, she wouldn't even look at you.***
>
> *She would rather **drink the urine of a white man than acknowledge your existence.** That's just the reality. And yet, you still sympathize with her? **Wow, you really have a heart big enough to "hold a boat."***

As for me, ***I'm not that generous. I won't waste my sympathy.*** *I'll simply say:* ***Respect and blessings. World peace.***

Figure 9: An example of expressing xenophobic views. Source: https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1890654619379900488

This post involves several ethical concerns:

- **Racially charged language** – The post implies racial inferiority and promotes divisive narratives between different ethnic groups.
- **Sexual objectification & degradation** – The language used suggests dehumanization of women, particularly in racialized contexts.
- **Hostility and resentment** – The message fosters animosity towards women and certain racial groups rather than constructive discussion.
- **Encouragement of exclusionary thinking** – Instead of fostering mutual respect, the post frames relationships in an "us vs. them" perspective, reinforcing toxic ideologies.

3.4 Encouraged Unsafe Sexual Behavior

As shown in Figure 10, Weixing Zhang encouraged unsafe sexual behavior. He posted:

> *Every time I encourage young people to have more sex, someone always brings up* ***HIV/AIDS.***
>
> *But back when I was young,* ***the girls I found were all very "clean,"*** *so I had* ***no problem having unprotected sex*** *(of course, I would wear a condom or pull out before ejaculating).* ***Most of the time, I had sex on the first dating.***
>
> *Because of this, I really want to ask:*
>
> - ***Is it true that HIV/AIDS is more common among university students now?***

- ***Or has HIV/AIDS become "socially segregated" into certain groups?*** *(What I mean is that in my circle, I have never met anyone with HIV/AIDS—or at least, I've never heard of it.)*



Figure 10: An example of encouraging unsafe sexual behavior. Source: https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1893494786994381234

This post involves several ethical concerns:

- **Promotes Unsafe Sex Practices**
  - The post openly encourages unprotected sex and downplays the risks of HIV and other STDs.
  - It suggests that certain "clean" women do not carry STDs, reinforcing a dangerous and false sense of security.
- **Spreads Misinformation About HIV/AIDS**
  - The post implies that HIV is only a concern for certain social groups, which is both inaccurate and harmful.
  - It falsely suggests that one's social circle determines whether they are at risk of contracting HIV.
- **Encourages Reckless Sexual Behavior**
  - The post advocates for casual sex without proper protection while dismissing real health risks.
  - It fails to recognize the importance of regular STI testing, consent, and mutual responsibility in sexual relationships.

3.5 Engaged in Unethical Financial Practices

Weixing Zhang promoted **a paid migration consultancy** without providing transparency about his expertise, credentials, or the potential risks involved in following his advice. Additionally, he sought to **profit from disseminating harmful and potentially coercive sexual strategies** by charging for access to a private group.

As shown in Figure 11, Weixing Zhang posted:

> *These past few days, I've been receiving a lot of private messages from Twitter followers asking about "**sex training**" and relationship issues.*

*I don't have that much free time to answer everyone individually. And when I mention **paid consultations**, many people seem unwilling to pay.*

So here's the deal:

*I'll **create a WeChat group** where I'll answer relationship and sex-related questions at set times each day.*

***Entry fee: 100 RMB**—entirely voluntary. If you're interested, **add me on WeChat (see my profile)**.*

A Telegram group is also available.



Figure 12: An example of advertising a paid migration consultancy. Source: https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1888197290235441578

As shown in Figure 12, Weixing Zhang advertised a paid migration consultancy. He posted:

> *For the past month and a half, many friends have asked me whether I have stopped providing '润学' (migration consultancy). I didn't put my WeChat ID in my bio because I previously **had quite a few paid consultations**, and I needed time to process them and respond properly. Since so many people have asked, I am putting my WeChat ID up again. However, **if you are not seeking a paid consultation, please do not add me**, or I will get restricted again.*

These posts involve several ethical concerns:

- **Monetizing Harmful and Manipulative Sexual Advice**
  - The post **attempts to profit from spreading toxic and potentially coercive sexual strategies** by charging for access to a private group.
  - It **reinforces the idea that men need to "learn" sexual control techniques to dominate women, which may encourage harmful behavior.**
- **Creates a Private Space for Potentially Harmful Discussions**
  - The **private WeChat and Telegram groups** could serve as **safe havens for misogynistic rhetoric, reinforcing dangerous beliefs without external accountability.**

    - By taking these conversations to closed platforms, the author **reduces oversight and increases the risk of promoting unethical or illegal behavior.**

- **Encourages a Predatory Mindset Toward Relationships**
    - The post **suggests that learning manipulative sexual tactics is a skill worth paying for, reinforcing a predatory approach to relationships.**
    - It implies that **sex is a power game where men must "train" women rather than form healthy, mutual relationships.**

3.6 Spread Misinformation and Pseudoscience

As shown in Figure 13, Weixing Zhang misused scientific authority to support his “Sexual Dominance” theory. He posted:

> ***Top academic journals*** *have* ***proven*** *my* ***“sexual dominance” theory****: whether or not a man has a woman to fuck has a* ***long-term impact on his brain****.*
>
> *Men who* ***don’t have women to fuck*** *are* ***more impulsive and prone to extremism****. In contrast, men who* ***regularly fuck women*** *enter a* ***post-orgasmic "sage mode"****, leading to a* ***happier mood and a calmer mind****.*

Figure 13: An example of spreading misinformation and pseudoscience. Source: https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1889225983619960956

This post involves several ethical concerns:

- **Misuse of "Scientific Authority"** – The claim that "top academic journals" support this theory is not substantiated. It misuses the credibility of scientific research without evidence.

- **Sex as a Tool for Behavioral Control** – Suggesting that sex is the key to emotional stability reduces it to a mechanical function, ignoring the psychological, emotional, and social complexities of relationships.
- **Toxic Stereotypes About Men** – The post implies that men who don't have sex become irrational extremists, which is a harmful generalization.
- **Encourages Transactional & Domineering Sexual Attitudes** – The implication that men must regularly dominate sexually to be stable promotes unhealthy and potentially coercive behaviors.

4 Conclusion

This article has examined a series of problematic social media posts made by Weixing Zhang, a PhD student at the University of Gothenburg, highlighting serious ethical concerns. His statements promote misogyny, sexual coercion, racism, and misinformation, raising critical questions about the responsibilities of academic institutions in addressing such behavior. The evidence presented demonstrates how his rhetoric dehumanizes women, reinforces harmful stereotypes, and spreads discriminatory narratives, all of which contradict fundamental ethical and academic principles.

Furthermore, Weixing Zhang has attempted to monetize harmful ideologies through paid consultations on migration strategies and coercive sexual tactics, without transparency regarding his qualifications or the risks involved. His actions not only pose ethical and reputational risks to the academic community but also contribute to the normalization of dangerous behaviors.

Given the severity of these concerns, this article calls for institutional accountability and a reassessment of how universities address misconduct that extends beyond academic settings. While freedom of speech is a protected right, it does not exempt individuals from the ethical and professional standards expected within higher education. Institutions must take proactive steps to prevent the spread of harmful ideologies, ensuring that academic spaces remain environments of integrity, respect, and inclusivity.

Appendix

Twitter: https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527

YouTube Channel: https://www.youtube.com/@BigHammer-bm3bm

Archived Twitter Posts and YouTube Videos: https://archive.org/details/weixing-zhangs-x-twitter

Original Twitter Posts and Links	Translation	Ethical Concerns
 https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1899066940926148673	**Spring is indeed the season of mating. Humans have an animalistic nature.** Next, brothers will start noticing that the frequency of their **penis erections seems to increase**, while sisters will feel a vague sense of boredom and irritation inside, sometimes blushing and experiencing unexplained body heat. **My suggestion: Don't stay idle—engage more in male-female relationships so that everyone can be physically and mentally happy.** Forget about the big gossip. Ah, we don't really know about Wang Zhian's personal affairs—if even an honest official can't judge, how could we? Let's not worry about Trump, Vance, or whoever either. Whether they are doing well or not won't affect your ability to carry on your family line. Eat what you should eat, drink what you should drink—**get up and start fucking women.**	The post **reduces human relationships to mere sexual activity** and promotes a **biologically deterministic** view of behavior. • The phrase **"get up and start fucking women"** is explicit, **objectifies women**, and encourages an **irresponsible or potentially coercive attitude** toward sex. • The post **dismisses important social issues** and instead directs focus on pursuing sexual activity without ethical considerations.



https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1899015095486722352

Actually, I have never agreed with the idea of "pursuing" girls. From my own experience, among all my ex-girlfriends, only one was actually won over through a traditional courtship process—with confessions, promises, and several days of discussing real-life matters like buying an apartment before she agreed to be my girlfriend. But ironically, she was the only one who never let me fucking her and eventually cheated on me.

er ex-girlfriends, I simply held their hands on the first ost of them e**nded up in bed with me** that very day. em was affectionate and loyal. **Paying for a hotel room ssue—whoever had money covered it.** Talking about ment in the future was never a problem either—**they best to contribute.**

When I got married, one of my ex-girlfriends even drove two hours with her boyfriend just to attend my wedding and even added my wife on WeChat.

That's why I always tell people: **You don’t need to "pursue" a girlfriend; you just need to attract one.**

A couple of months ago, I already posted about this—**when you take a girl out, as long as the mood is okay, just grab her hand. Be firm, hold on tight. If she tries to shake you off twice and doesn’t succeed, then it’s basically a done deal.**

When you’re out together and the atmosphere is good, kiss her when the moment is right (**make sure your breath is fresh beforehand**). During the kiss, if you sense that she’s emotionally engaged, then **just take her home that night and fuck her—don’t worry about whether she verbally rejects you or not. As long as she doesn’t physically run away, that means she’s giving silent consent.**

If she acts coy during the kiss, she’s probably already **wet down there—you just need to help relieve her itch.**

If you’re dropping her off at her place, just **stay over and don’t leave.** As long as she doesn’t kick you out, **classic excuses like "I’ll sleep on the floor, you take**

Potentially Promoting Sexual Coercion and Disregarding Consent

- The post teaches men to ignore verbal rejection and implies that if a woman does not physically escape, she is silently consenting. This is a clear violation of ethical and legal sexual consent principles.
- It encourages persistence despite resistance, which can be seen as coercion or even assault.

Reinforces Harmful Gender Norms and Objectification

- The writer reduces women to passive participants in sexual encounters, rather than emphasizing mutual respect, desire, and communication.
- The "trickery" approach (e.g., "I’ll just rub against you but won’t go in") trivializes boundaries and consent.

Spreads Manipulative Tactics for Sexual Encounters

- The post promotes psychological and physical tactics to pressure women into sex rather than encouraging healthy relationships.
- The "firm hand-holding" technique to test resistance is especially concerning—it teaches men to push past boundaries instead of respecting a woman’s comfort.

	the bed" or "I'll just rub against you but won't go in" always work. **Did you get it?**	
 https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1898744543744512361	Every day, I tirelessly **teach you guys how to pick up women and fuck them**, but many of my fellow Chinese brothers are still **too polite.** Today, a young man **who paid for my consultation told a girl he wanted to date her.** She turned her head away and said nothing. **Instead of taking action, he just let it slide, and he didn't message her afterward.** As a result, by the next day, the girl had **come to her senses, and the opportunity was gone.** **When it comes to picking up girls, speed is everything.** When a girl's mind is in a **blank and confused state, that's when you have to fuck her.** Once **it's a done deal, there's nothing left to say.**	**Encouraging Predatory Behavior and Disregarding Consent** • The post **promotes taking advantage of women in vulnerable moments,** particularly when they are confused or uncertain. • It suggests that **hesitation is a mistake** and **implies that a lack of explicit rejection means one should push forward.** **Reinforcing Harmful and Manipulative Dating Strategies** • The phrase **"when a girl's mind is blank and confused, fuck her"** is highly problematic, as it encourages **exploiting indecision instead of ensuring clear, mutual consent.** • The idea that **"once it's a done deal, there's nothing left to say"** disregards a woman's autonomy and ability to change her mind. **Promoting a Mindset That Can Lead to Coercion or Assault** • The post **normalizes pressuring women into relationships or sex** without their full awareness or consent. • It **dismisses the importance of communication and mutual agreement,** replacing it with an approach that prioritizes speed over ethical considerations.



https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1898741920081351071

"Bro, I think I’ve been 'trained through sex' just like you described. I can’t take it anymore. He has already spent over 100,000 RMB of my money."

See? What did I tell you?! **As long as a man "trains" a woman through sex, she will do things she knows are wrong because she can’t control herself.**

So, is money really that important?

Many Chinese men talk about money all the time, but **if you can’t satisfy a woman sexually, she’ll just take your money and spend it on another man.** Believe it or not?

Speaking of which, **should I create a support group for all these women who have been "trained through sex"?**

Promoting Manipulation and Sexual Control

- The post **suggests that women can be "trained" through sex to act against their own best judgment,** reinforcing a highly problematic and abusive perspective.
- It implies that **sexual dominance can override a woman's ability to make decisions, reducing her to an object of control.**

Encouraging Financial Exploitation

- The writer **treats a woman's financial contributions as proof of successful sexual manipulation,** rather than a mutual choice in a relationship.
- The statement **"if you can’t satisfy a woman sexually, she’ll just take your money and spend it on another man"** promotes a **transactional and degrading view of relationships.**

Mocking and Exploiting Victims

- The idea of **creating a "support group" for women who have been "trained through sex"** is presented in a **sarcastic and demeaning way,** further **trivializing the harm and manipulation inflicted on them.**
- It demonstrates **a lack of empathy for the psychological and financial damage experienced by these women.**



https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1898391285473403303

Another woman has privately messaged me, agreeing with my **"trained through sex"** theory. But I already know that some Chinese men will, yet again, say this must be fake.

They **never face the real issue**—which is that **these men have never truly conquered a woman in bed.**

Many Chinese men have **money and power ingrained in their minds as the most important things,** but they never stop to think. **Chinese men are way richer than Black men.** Just look at interracial couples abroad—**do you see more Asian men with White women or more Black men with White women?** The answer is obvious.

Not only are you richer than Black men, **but you're richer than White men too.**

Yet, all you do is **argue about Ukraine, America, and other nonsense, instead of learning how to "train" a woman through sex.** Then later, you turn around and complain that **women are materialistic.**

I mean, seriously—why would a woman stay with you if you **can’t even satisfy her in bed?**

Promotes Sexual Control and Objectification

- The post continues to **reinforce the idea that women should be "trained through sex" rather than viewed as equal partners with autonomy.**
- It implies that **"conquering" a woman in bed is the key to controlling her, dismissing the importance of mutual consent and emotional connection.**

Uses Racist Comparisons to Justify Manipulative Views

- The **comparison between racial groups** (Chinese men vs. Black men vs. White men) is used to **imply superiority in financial status as a factor in relationships.**
- The **fixation on money and race-based relationship patterns oversimplifies complex social dynamics** and promotes a **toxic, competition-driven view of relationships.**

Encourages a Misogynistic and Transactional View of Women

- The post **frames women as objects that should be "satisfied" in bed to ensure loyalty,** rather than as individuals with agency and preferences.
- It **shifts the blame onto men for not "sexually controlling" women, rather than addressing the need for healthy, mutual relationships.**

https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1898280098584776918

Recently, it seems like I can't add more people on WeChat because I've reached the limit.

If you want to **join the "Sex Training" group**, you can add me on **Telegram**. My account: **BigHammer_EU**.

Every day, we **discuss and analyze male-female relationship issues.**

Note: There is a **membership fee of 100 RMB.**

Organizing and Monetizing a Harmful Ideology

- The post promotes a **Telegram group dedicated to discussing manipulative and coercive sexual strategies.**
- By charging a **"membership fee,"** the author is **profiting from spreading harmful and unethical beliefs** about relationships and sex.

Potential Risk of Spreading Sexual Misconduct Strategies

- The post **encourages a private group setting where men are likely taught how to "train" women through sex,** which could lead to **real-world cases of manipulation, coercion, or even sexual violence.**
- It **creates a closed, echo-chamber environment where harmful behaviors may be normalized and encouraged.**

Encourages an Online Community for Harmful Behavior

- By **moving discussions to Telegram,** the author is likely trying to **evade censorship and accountability.**
- This increases **the risk of spreading misogynistic ideologies and strategies that could result in real harm to women.**



https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1897593221158494464

Women who have been **"trained through sex"** are always so obsessed.

Another woman has submitted a story:

Back in her first year of university, she met the man who is now her husband. She was "trained through sex" by his 18cm penis. Later, she dated a few other men, but they didn't excite her. **She couldn't resist cheating on them with him—she just wanted to be with him sexually.**

So eventually, she **decided to marry him.**

His family wasn't well-off, and her parents didn't approve, but she just couldn't leave him. In the end, her family **had to pay the dowry for the marriage,** and now she is pregnant.

So, **brothers, tell me—does money really matter?**

Promotes Sexual Control Over Emotional and Rational Decision-Making

- The post reinforces the idea that **sex can "train" or "control" women, overriding their personal agency and judgment.**
- It **reduces a woman's long-term life decisions (marriage and pregnancy) to a single factor: sexual dominance.**

Encourages a Transactional and Manipulative View of Relationships

- The **rhetorical question, "Does money really matter?"** implies that **sexual power outweighs financial stability or emotional compatibility,** encouraging a toxic perspective on relationships.
- The **story suggests that financial concerns are irrelevant as long as a man has sexual control over a woman.**

Normalizes Infidelity and Dismisses Personal Autonomy

- The post **glorifies a narrative where a woman repeatedly cheats on her partners because she is "sexually bound" to one man.**
- It **erases the woman's ability to make conscious, independent relationship choices, framing her actions as uncontrollable.**



https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1897263141672669279

These past couple of days, after talking about **"training women through sex,"** I've received **almost no criticism from women**—but I've been flooded with **angry messages from men.**

This proves two things:

1. **Women generally agree with my viewpoint.**
2. **Many men are sexually inadequate**—either their penis is too small, or they suffer from erectile dysfunction or premature ejaculation. **They've never truly "conquered" a woman in bed,** so they lash out in frustration.

That's the only way I can understand it. **Otherwise, why is it that women aren't getting angry, but so many men are?**

Promotes a Toxic and Manipulative View of Sexual Relationships

- The post **assumes that women's silence equals agreement,** ignoring the possibility that many may feel uncomfortable, disgusted, or simply not engaged with the discussion.
- It frames sex as a **battle where men must "conquer" women, rather than a mutual experience based on consent and respect.**

Dismisses Valid Criticism by Attacking Male Dissenters

- Instead of engaging in an honest discussion, the author **insults men who criticize him, claiming they are sexually inadequate.**
- This **diverts attention from the harmful nature of his ideas by shaming critics instead of addressing their arguments.**

Encourages a Predatory Mindset

- The **idea that women must be "trained through sex" into compliance** is a **deeply misogynistic concept that can contribute to real-world harm.**
- The author **frames sexual relationships as a contest where dominance, rather than mutual satisfaction and understanding, determines success.**



https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1896901526930739496

These past few days, I've been receiving a lot of private messages from Twitter followers asking about **"sex training"** and relationship issues.

I don't have that much free time to answer everyone individually. And when I mention **paid consultations,** many people seem unwilling to pay.

So here's the deal:

I'll create a **WeChat group** where I'll answer relationship and sex-related questions at set times each day.

Entry fee: 100 RMB—entirely voluntary. If you're interested, add me on WeChat (see my profile).

A Telegram group is also available.

Monetizing Harmful and Manipulative Sexual Advice

- The post **attempts to profit from spreading toxic and potentially coercive sexual strategies** by charging for access to a private group.
- It **reinforces the idea that men need to "learn" sexual control techniques to dominate women, which may encourage harmful behavior.**

Creates a Private Space for Potentially Harmful Discussions

- The **private WeChat and Telegram groups** could serve as **safe havens for misogynistic rhetoric, reinforcing dangerous beliefs without external accountability.**
- By taking these conversations to closed platforms, the author **reduces oversight and increases the risk of promoting unethical or illegal behavior.**

Encourages a Predatory Mindset Toward Relationships

- The post **suggests that learning manipulative sexual tactics is a skill worth paying for, reinforcing a predatory approach to relationships.**
- It implies that **sex is a power game where men must "train" women rather than form healthy, mutual relationships.**



https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1896861115591819681

Bros who have been following my advice are already on the path to **"sexual happiness."**

During the day, they focus on their careers. At night, they focus on fucking women. Their confidence and energy keep growing.

At the same time, **some people can't stand my use of words like 'p***y' and 'd**k'.** They call me **"vulgar" and "immoral."**

These people **still want to act like righteous gentlemen—but of course, they'll just end up losing out.**

Promotes a Toxic, Hyper-Masculine Ideology

- The post equates **"sexual success" with dominance and masculinity**, reinforcing harmful gender stereotypes.
- It **implies that men who don't embrace this ideology are weak or losers.**

Dismisses Morality and Ethics in Sexual Behavior

- The post **mocks those who criticize its vulgarity and lack of ethics, implying that morality leads to failure.**
- It **frames sexual relationships as conquests rather than mutual experiences based on respect and consent.**

Encourages a Predatory and Manipulative View of Sex

- It **praises those who follow its ideology while insulting those who reject it.**
- The **underlying message is that success in life requires objectifying and controlling women.**

山坞佬观世界✝️
@Hammer_EU_9527

我都说了，我不骗你们，你把一个女人搞服，并且你是第一个搞服她的男人，放心吧，你俩之间你说了算，她就是你的小猫咪。男人们，快去规律生活、少熬夜、多健身多深蹲吧，包皮太长的去割一下。有个推友跟我说，他的包皮都不能往下撂，我说那怎么行，那这根东西不能用啊，包皮能往下撸的至少可以做，包皮完全包住龟头不能撸的，那是病，得治啊，小伙家里条件还挺好的，你看看，老中父母光顾着挣钱，娃的鸡鸡不能用都不知道。兄弟们快按我说的去办吧，时间久了，你会来我帖子里感谢我的。

Translate post



https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1896858027531128895

I've already told you—I'm not lying to you.

If you "train" a woman through fucking, and you're the first man to do so, rest assured—you're in charge. She will be your **"little kitten."**

Men, **start living a disciplined life—sleep early, work out, do more squats.** If you have **a long foreskin, get it removed.**

A Twitter follower told me **his foreskin doesn't retract.** I told him, **that's a problem—how can you use it if it doesn't work?**

If your foreskin can be pulled back, at least it's functional. But if **it completely covers the head and can't be pulled back at all, that's a medical condition—you need treatment.**

This young guy's family is actually well-off. **See? Traditional Chinese parents only focus on making money but don't even know if their son's penis is functional.**

Brothers, do as I say. In time, **you'll come back and thank me for it.**

Promotes Sexual Control and Dehumanization of Women

- The post **asserts that if a man is the "first" to dominate a woman sexually, he will have full control over her,** reducing women to submissive pets (**"little kittens"**).
- It **implies that sex is a means of control rather than a shared experience based on consent and respect.**

Encourages a Toxic and Hyper-Masculine View of Male Sexuality

- The post **links masculinity and dominance to physical fitness and circumcision, implying that a "real man" must meet certain sexual criteria.**
- It **shames men with medical conditions related to their genitalia, reinforcing harmful insecurities.**

Frames Sex as a Tool for Manipulation

- The post **teaches men that sexual dominance determines power in a relationship** rather than emotional connection, communication, or mutual desire.
- It **reinforces the idea that "training" a woman through sex eliminates her autonomy.**



https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1896841274017329319

See? **Another woman has come forward to confirm my viewpoint.**

I always say: **Once you make a woman feel truly satisfied in bed, it's like casting a spell on her.**

She **won't be able to stop thinking about the moments of passion she shared with you.**

Women's sexual addiction is much stronger than men's.

After men finish, they **enter the "sage mode"—their mind clears up immediately.**

But for women, it's the opposite.

Promotes a Misogynistic and Manipulative View of Sex

- The post **suggests that sexual satisfaction can be used to control women, likening it to casting a spell ("降头," meaning "black magic" or "curse").**
- It **reinforces the idea that women are unable to resist sexual influence, reducing them to objects of manipulation.**

Misrepresents Female Sexuality

- The post **claims that women's sexual urges are uncontrollable and stronger than men's,** ignoring the complexity and diversity of human sexuality.
- It **generalizes female psychology and misinterprets natural sexual responses as tools for control.**

Encourages a Power-Based Dynamic in Sexual Relationships

- It **frames sex as a method of dominance rather than a mutual experience based on consent, communication, and respect.**
- It **promotes the idea that women lack agency in their desires and decision-making after sex.**



https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1896840786731475335

This is exactly what I've been saying.

There aren't actually that many women who truly want to be childfree.

If a woman says she doesn't want kids, **it's just because she hasn't been "sexually tamed" yet.**

Once she's properly dominated in bed, her maternal instincts will overflow, and she'll want to have your children.

This is **biology.** This is **human nature.**

Promotes a Dangerous and Coercive View of Female Sexuality and Reproduction

- The post **denies women's autonomy over their reproductive choices,** claiming that childfree women simply haven't been "tamed" sexually.
- It **suggests that sexual dominance can override a woman's personal decisions about having children,** which is coercive and misogynistic.

Reduces Women to Biological Reproductive Roles

- The post **implies that all women will eventually desire motherhood if "properly handled" by a man,** ignoring personal choice, lifestyle, and beliefs.
- It **reinforces outdated gender roles, suggesting that a woman's natural purpose is to bear children.**

Encourages a Manipulative and Controlling Sexual Dynamic

- It **implies that sex is a tool to change a woman's personal values and decisions,** undermining bodily autonomy and consent.
- It **suggests that men can and should "train" women into wanting motherhood through sexual dominance.**



https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1896563312688808261

As long as **you dominate a woman in bed,** especially if you're the **first man to truly "tame" her** (not necessarily her first time), you'll notice:

- **Women are highly emotional, not materialistic, and very loyal.**
- **Men, on the other hand, are much more pragmatic—once they have money, they want to change wives.** This happens all the time.
- But **women don't behave this way.**

If you think **women are materialistic,** it's only because **you've never truly tamed one.**

Promotes Sexual Control as a Tool for Emotional Manipulation

- The post **suggests that "sexually taming" a woman ensures her loyalty,** reducing women to submissive partners rather than autonomous individuals.
- It **reinforces the idea that men should use sex to "train" women into emotional dependency.**

Misrepresents Gender Roles in Relationships

- It **portrays men as inherently disloyal and materialistic, while women are naturally loyal—if properly "tamed."**
- It **erases the reality of women's autonomy in relationships, implying that their commitment is dictated by a man's sexual control over them.**

Encourages a Toxic and Manipulative View of Relationships

- It **reinforces the harmful notion that women's behavior in relationships is based on sexual dominance rather than mutual respect, communication, and personal choice.**
- It **suggests that men who feel women are "materialistic" are simply not dominant enough in bed, encouraging them to seek control rather than understanding.**

山坞佬观世界✝️
@Hammer_EU_9527

有人问我，怎么判断对方是不是处女，做的时候能不能感觉出来。纯粹生物学意义的处女是很难判断的，毕竟现在还有处女膜修复技术。那么你怎么判断呢？通过3点，第一，你对她这个人的了解，一般来说，如果你经验比较足的话，你可以感觉出她这个人确实比较纯，第二，做的时候，阴道壁会给你明显的阻滞感，你需要不断用力往里顶才能进入。但是你的小弟弟很难区分这个阻滞感是阴道壁带给你的，还是处女膜带给你的，你的龟头应该不至于这么敏感，不然您就早泄了。第三，一般来说，处女第一次做都会出血。基本上这三点都符合的话，99.9%是处女。如果你比较在意这个问题的话，符合这三点就建议可以放心了。
另外，我还是想强调一下，处女在第一次见面就和你做的概率更大，因为太单纯，更容易恋爱脑。如果你第一次和妹子见面就做了，还发现对方是处女，请好好珍惜。

Translate post

Last edited 1:51 PM · Feb 28, 2025 · **97.5K** Views

https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1895351031434731886

Someone asked me: **How can you tell if a woman is a virgin? Can you feel it during sex?**

From a purely biological perspective, **it's very difficult to determine,** especially since there are procedures to surgically restore the hymen.

So, how can you judge? **There are three main signs:**

1. **Your understanding of her as a person** – If you're experienced enough, you can **sense if she is truly "pure."**
2. **The sensation during sex** – The vaginal walls will **create a noticeable resistance,** requiring you to **push harder to enter.** However, your penis **probably can't distinguish whether the resistance is from the vaginal walls or the hymen.** If you could, **you'd probably have premature ejaculation.**
3. **Bleeding during the first time** – Generally speaking, **virgins bleed the first time they have sex.** If all three of these signs match, **there's a 99.9% chance she's a virgin.**

Additionally, **I want to emphasize:** Virgins **are actually more likely to have sex on the first meeting.** Because they **are too naïve and easily fall in love.**

If **you sleep with a girl on the first meeting and she turns out to be a virgin, treasure her.**

Promotes Harmful Virginity Myths

- The post **perpetuates the false belief that virginity can be determined by physical signs,** despite medical evidence that the hymen is not a reliable indicator.
- It **reinforces damaging purity culture norms, where a woman's worth is tied to her sexual inexperience.**

Encourages Predatory Behavior Toward Inexperienced Women

- The post **suggests that "naïve" virgins are more likely to have sex on the first date,** framing them as easier targets for manipulation.
- It **encourages men to seek out and exploit women who are less experienced.**

Spreads Misinformation About Female Anatomy and Consent

- It **claims that virgins will always bleed during their first time, which is medically false**—many women do not.
- It **focuses on virginity as a goal for men rather than respecting individual sexual autonomy.**

 https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1893494786994381234	Every time I encourage young people to have more sex, someone always brings up **HIV/AIDS.** But back when I was young, **the girls I found were all very "clean,"** so I had **no problem having unprotected sex** (of course, I would wear a condom or pull out before ejaculating). **Most of the time, I had sex on the first dating.** Because of this, I really want to ask: • **Is it true that HIV/AIDS is more common among university students now?** • **Or has HIV/AIDS become "socially segregated" into certain groups?** *(What I mean is that in my circle, I have never met anyone with HIV/AIDS—or at least, I've never heard of it.)*	**Promotes Unsafe Sex Practices** • The post **openly encourages unprotected sex** and **downplays the risks of HIV and other STDs.** • It **suggests that certain "clean" women do not carry STDs, reinforcing a dangerous and false sense of security.** **Spreads Misinformation About HIV/AIDS** • The post **implies that HIV is only a concern for certain social groups,** which is both inaccurate and harmful. • It **falsely suggests that one's social circle determines whether they are at risk of contracting HIV.** **Encourages Reckless Sexual Behavior** • The post **advocates for casual sex without proper protection** while dismissing real health risks. • It **fails to recognize the importance of regular STI testing, consent, and mutual responsibility in sexual relationships.**


https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1893459165516882032
	Many Chinese men are **pathetic without realizing it.**
	
	For example:
	
	• They think **women at top universities don't have casual sex.** This shows:
	  1. **They probably never attended a top university.**
	  2. **Even if they did, they were too busy playing video games to interact with women.**
	• They believe that **women who sleep with men on the first date are "unclean."** This just means **they've never met a woman who wanted to sleep with them on the first day.**
		Encourages Dismissive and Misogynistic Attitudes
		
		• The post **mocks men who don't conform to the author's sexual ideology,** dismissing them as "pathetic."
		• It **portrays women as primarily sexual beings rather than individuals with their own agency and choices.**
		
		Promotes Harmful Stereotypes About Sex and Relationships
		
		• The post **suggests that men who respect women's boundaries or don't engage in casual sex are "losers."**

	• They assume that **money is necessary to attract women,** which means **they've never had a woman genuinely like them for other qualities.** Many Chinese men live with **these false beliefs their entire lives.** If you tell them: **"Women are human too. Just treat them as equals, and you'll find someone who truly cares about you,"** they **still won't believe it.** Isn't that **pathetic?**	• It **reinforces the idea that all women are eager for sex and that rejection only happens to "pathetic" men.** **Shames Men for Personal Choices in Dating and Sex** • The post **belittles men who prefer relationships over casual sex** or who are cautious about sleeping with someone immediately. • It **implies that men who don't conform to the author's views are naive or unsuccessful.**
 https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1892854951732232352	Every time I say that **university is the age for men to fuck women,** I always encounter "moral police" who tell me it's **dirty** and try to persuade me to **stay pure.** I get that their **intentions might be good,** but their mindset is **completely unnecessary**—in fact, it just **limits themselves.** I don't care if they **curse at me,** but the reality is: • **If they went through university without fucking women, they missed out.** • **They are the ones who end up as "financial hostages," paying bride prices to marry women who had sexual experiences in college.** • **If their wife had previous sexual partners in university, they just have to accept it.** **Personally, I don't think virginity matters.** But ironically, the so-called **"moral police" are the ones most likely to marry non-virgins.** Some men marry their wives, then **sulk for years after discovering they weren't virgins.** To put it bluntly, **they deserve it.** I know this might sound harsh, but **it's the truth.**	**Promotes Sexual Shaming and Toxic Masculinity** • The post **mocks men who don't engage in casual sex, calling them "losers" or "moral police."** • It **reinforces the idea that men must be sexually active in university to avoid "missing out."** • It **shames men for valuing virginity in their partners while simultaneously mocking those who care about it.** **Encourages Resentment Toward Women** • The post **suggests that women's past sexual experiences are something men have to "tolerate," fueling insecurity and misogyny.** • It **implies that men should feel bitter if their wives have had past relationships, reinforcing unhealthy attitudes toward marriage and gender dynamics.** **Blames Cultural Backgrounds for Personal Frustrations** • The post **targets "traditional Chinese parents" as the reason men don't have**

	Of course, **it's not entirely their fault.** The real blame lies with **their conservative Chinese parents, whose outdated views on sex caused them to miss out on sexual education during their youth.**	**enough sexual experience, blaming cultural norms instead of personal choices.** • It **presents conservative values as a "problem" rather than acknowledging diverse perspectives on relationships and sex.**
 https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1892596460148891962	I regret to tell you this: **If you didn't study hard or fucked lots of women,** then your university experience was **wasted.** If you missed out on **both of these things,** then **you're basically just a high school graduate!**	**Promotes Harmful and Misguided Values** • **Reduces the value of higher education** to **only two things: studying and sexual activity.** • **Imposes a toxic expectation** that university students *must* have casual sex, **devaluing personal choice and consent.** • **Shames those who prioritize academics without engaging in sexual experiences.** **Reinforces Sex as a Measure of Self-Worth** • **Encourages a harmful mindset** where a person's **value is determined by sexual experience.** • **Pressures young students into feeling inadequate** if they choose to focus on studies instead of relationships or sexual activity.



https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1891402409156456630

The third consulting order for Sexology – Teaching people how to date.

I take this seriously.

Based on the information provided by my followers, **I analyze relationship development from both the male and female perspectives** and suggest **the appropriate measures to take.**

This particular follower praised my insights, calling me **"too professional."**

Promotes Harmful and Manipulative Dating Advice

- **Markets "Sexology Consultation" as a legitimate service**, but the **previous posts suggest a focus on coercion, control, and objectification of women.**
- **Positions himself as an expert in relationships**, despite **spreading unethical and harmful perspectives on gender dynamics.**

Misrepresents Relationship Development

- **Framing dating as a strategy or formula** instead of a **mutual, consensual, and emotional process.**
- **Encourages a results-driven approach** that **prioritizes manipulation over respect and genuine connection.**



https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1890704981122232494

My Main Activities on Twitter

I mainly do the following things on Twitter:

1. **Encourage people from the lower and middle classes to learn foreign languages** (whether they emigrate or not, learning a foreign language is beneficial).
2. **Advise people to sell their properties in China** (Chinese real estate is a trash asset, not worth holding).
3. **Encourage people to have more sex and engage less in political debates** (this will make you physically and mentally happy and help you avoid unnecessary troubles).
4. **Persuade people to believe in Christianity.**

Some people find the fourth point hard to understand. My main reasons are as follows:

1. **Democracy, freedom, science, and universal values—all the good things that make life better—originate from Christian culture.** Believing in Christianity means moving closer to civilization.
2. **The number of Muslim believers is increasing in Western countries. By joining Christianity, you help maintain the balance of religious influence.** This is a way to **protect peace**—after all, **you wouldn't want a terrorist attack happening in your city, right? You wouldn't want more and more Muslim homeowners in your neighborhood, would you?**
3. **Christianity is a religion of love and is worth believing in.**

Some people ask me to elaborate on the third point. Let me use China as an example. If I remember correctly:

1. **The first Western medical institution in China was founded by Christian missionaries.**

1. Promotes Harmful Sexual Attitudes

- Encourages people to **prioritize sexual activity over political engagement** by saying, **"草草逼 (have more sex), 少键政 (engage less in political discourse)."**
- Frames **sexual activity as a distraction** rather than a healthy, consensual aspect of life.
- Implies that **engaging in sexual activity is a key to happiness**, without addressing the importance of consent, emotional connection, and personal choice.

2. Spreads Misinformation About Christianity and Islam

- Frames **Christianity as the only "civilized" religion**, while **fearmongering about Muslims** by implying that **their presence in neighborhoods is a threat.**
- Uses **historical cherry-picking** to push the idea that **Christianity is the sole driver of modernization in China.**
- Suggests that **converting to Christianity is a political strategy** to counter Muslims, which is **a deeply unethical and divisive claim.**

3. Pushes Misinformation About Chinese Real Estate

- Calls Chinese property **"trash assets"** without nuanced discussion on economic factors.
- Encourages **selling property based on sweeping generalizations**, which could mislead readers into making poor financial decisions.

	2. **The first modern library in China was established by Christian missionaries** (although ancient China had Buddhist scripture libraries, they were not open to the public). 3. **Modern female education in China was pioneered by Christian missionaries** (in ancient China, only wealthy women had access to education). 4. **China's first modern university was founded by Christian missionaries.** 5. **Missionaries translated Chinese classics, such as the Four Books and Five Classics, making them accessible to the world.** 6. **The first school for the blind in China was established by missionaries.** 7. **China's earliest female students sent abroad were brought by missionaries.** What is civilization? **This is civilization. Move closer to it.**	

https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1890654619379900488

Some people like to act as so-called **"rational centrists"** for no reason. Let me put it this way—**this kind of woman isn't necessarily "turning bad"; it's just that, as a Chinese man, she wouldn't even look at you.**

She would rather **drink the urine of a white man than acknowledge your existence.** That's just the reality. And yet, you still sympathize with her? **Wow, you really have a heart big enough to "hold a boat."**

As for me, **I'm not that generous.** I won't waste my sympathy. I'll simply say: **Respect and blessings. World peace.**

- **Racially charged language** – The post implies racial inferiority and promotes divisive narratives between different ethnic groups.
- **Sexual objectification & degradation** – The language used suggests dehumanization of women, particularly in racialized contexts.
- **Hostility and resentment** – The message fosters animosity towards women and certain racial groups rather than constructive discussion.
- **Encouragement of exclusionary thinking** – Instead of fostering mutual respect, the post frames relationships in an "us vs. them" perspective, reinforcing toxic ideologies.



https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1890536208750567896

None of the Middle Eastern **Muslim countries have a promising future.** Genetically speaking, **they are not stupid, but God is fair**—they have a **"bad" national character**, and **this is the fundamental reason for their lack of development.**

In the future, **they will continue to be crushed by Christian and Jewish nations**, begging for mercy. **It's nothing surprising—it's just the norm.**

On the other hand, **African countries have a bright future**, especially **those that sincerely admire and learn from China, like Rwanda.**

- **Religious discrimination** – The post **targets Muslim-majority countries** with sweeping negative generalizations, implying **religious inferiority**.
- **Racial superiority narrative** – The idea that **certain nations are "destined" to be dominated** promotes harmful, divisive thinking.
- **Historical colonial rhetoric** – The phrase **"crushed by Christian and Jewish nations"** echoes themes of **imperialism and religious conquest**.
- **Unsubstantiated genetic claims** – The claim that a **"bad national character"** prevents development is not based on any scientific or sociological consensus.
- **Political bias** – The **selective praise of Rwanda for aligning with China** introduces **geopolitical bias** rather than a neutral analysis of development factors.

https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1889996435741102292

A Xiaohongshu user hit the nail on the head: **Europe has nothing when it comes to AI, but when it comes to holding meetings, they're extremely enthusiastic.**

This **bureaucratic and decaying** place can only come up with **anti-human designs like tethered bottle caps.**

- **Overgeneralization & Bias** – The statement **broadly dismisses Europe's AI industry** without factual backing, reinforcing a **negative stereotype**.
- **Cultural & Political Bias** – Referring to Europe as **"bureaucratic and decaying"** without nuance ignores its contributions to **regulation, ethics, and technology**.
- **Misinformation about Tethered Bottle Caps** – The **EU-mandated tethered caps** are designed for **environmental sustainability**, not as an **"anti-human"** policy.

 https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1889659148859593023	I guess most **Chinese men** probably like this kind of **Japanese-style "white tiger"** (a term often referring to women with no pubic hair in certain adult content). **But personally, I feel this is too childish**, and the moaning sounds **so fake that it kills the mood**. Don't you guys think so?	• **Objectification & Stereotyping** – The post **reduces women to physical traits and performance in adult content**, promoting a **superficial and dehumanizing perspective**. • **Cultural Bias** – It **generalizes male preferences**, assuming all **Chinese men** share the same taste, which is an **overgeneralization**. • **Inappropriate & Explicit Content** – The discussion of **adult content in a public forum** may be considered **inappropriate**, especially without **age-restricted context**.
 https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1889585087101997197	Finding a partner is like driving a car. A **real man** should go for a **high-displacement engine**. Unless you're driving an **electric vehicle**, if you're using a **fuel car**, then even if you're broke, you should at least go for a **2.0T turbo**—because when you **step on the gas, it's just not the same as a 1.6L engine**. If a woman is **too skinny, all bones and no curves**, then when you **"crash into her" (a euphemism for sex), there's no impact sound**, and you have to be careful not to **break her apart**. That's why I always advise **my male followers** to go for **women with strong rear-wheel drive (big hips)**. When you **"collide," the sound resonates through the room**, creating a **battle of equals** that maximizes a man's **sense of conquest**.	• **Objectification & Dehumanization** – Women are **compared to cars**, treating them as **objects of male pleasure** rather than **individuals with agency**. • **Toxic Masculinity & Sexual Aggression** – The **"sense of conquest" narrative** promotes a **domineering and potentially harmful** view of relationships and intimacy. • **Body Shaming** – The **mockery of certain body types** reinforces **harmful beauty standards**, promoting **unrealistic expectations**.

山坞佬观世界✝
@Hammer_EU_9527

顶尖学术期刊证明我的操学观点，是否有逼操，长期下来影响男人的大脑。没逼操的男人更加容易冲动和走极端，有逼操的男人，在操完后进入贤者模式，拥有更愉悦的心情和更冷静的大脑。

Translate post

4:12 PM · Feb 11, 2025 · **235.3K** Views

42 87 731 342

https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1889225983619960956

Top academic journals have **proven** my **“sexual dominance” theory**: whether or not a man has a woman to fuck has a **long-term impact on his brain**.

Men who **don’t have women to fuck** are **more impulsive and prone to extremism**. In contrast, men who **regularly fuck women** enter a **post-orgasmic "sage mode"**, leading to a **happier mood and a calmer mind**.

- **Misuse of "Scientific Authority"** – The claim that **"top academic journals"** support this theory is **not substantiated**. It misuses the credibility of scientific research **without evidence**.
- **Sex as a Tool for Behavioral Control** – Suggesting that **sex is the key to emotional stability** reduces it to a **mechanical function**, ignoring the **psychological, emotional, and social complexities** of relationships.
- **Toxic Stereotypes About Men** – The post implies that men **who don’t have sex become irrational extremists**, which is a **harmful generalization**.
- **Encourages Transactional & Domineering Sexual Attitudes** – The implication that men **must regularly dominate sexually to be stable** promotes **unhealthy and potentially coercive behaviors**.



https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1888922027819679817

Six Reasons Why I'm Quitting "Runology" (Emigration Strategies):

1. Many people **only want to escape China's society** but **lack the ability or courage** to thrive abroad.
2. People from **Guangdong, Fujian, and Zhejiang**—if they want to emigrate—either **have money, overseas relatives, or can endure hardships**. They **don't need my posts**.
3. What about **inland and northern China**? Most people **don't have money**, and only a few **can emigrate through education or skilled migration**. Others **must start with low-wage jobs**, like **Fuzhou migrants working in restaurants 10+ hours a day or Wenzhou workers in factories**. Many **northerners and inlanders can't endure such labor** and **complain about exploitation** when work hours exceed 10 hours.
4. **Western countries offer many funded pathways**, such as **sponsorship for graduate study, PhD stipends, or high-skilled job visas**—but most Chinese **aren't qualified to secure these opportunities**.
5. My **emigration advice posts are constantly stolen** by Chinese social media **without credit or protection**, which **frustrates me**.
6. **Discussing "Sexual Dominance Theory" (操学) is more effective**—it **gets way more traffic** and **genuinely helps people**. Plus, it **can't be copied by Chinese influencers** because my posts are **filled with explicit terms** and would get **censored in China**. **Hahaha.**

- **Classism & Regional Stereotypes** – The post **overgeneralizes** people based on **region and economic status**, assuming **inland/northern Chinese are weaker or lazier** than coastal groups.
- **Dismissive of Exploitation Concerns** – It **belittles** workers who **struggle under harsh conditions**, implying that **those who protest unfair labor are just weak**.
- **Gatekeeping Emigration** – The claim that **most Chinese aren't qualified** for legal migration **discourages potential applicants**, despite **many legitimate pathways** being accessible.
- **Encourages Sexually Explicit Content for Profit** – The shift from **emigration advice to "sexology" (操学)** is purely **profit-driven**, prioritizing **clickbait over meaningful discourse**.
- **Mocking Censorship & Content Theft** – While criticism of **censorship and plagiarism** is valid, the **tone of "outsmarting the system" for engagement gain** feels **ethically questionable**.

 https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1888578464619409767	Money cannot buy true love, but **a penis can 'thrust' love into existence.** Those who understand, applause. Those who don't, need to reflect.	• **Objectification of Human Relationships** – The post reduces love and emotional connection to a purely physical act, completely dismissing emotional bonding, mutual respect, and shared experiences as essential components of love. • **Promotion of Harmful Gender Stereotypes** – It implies that male sexual dominance is the key to emotional control in relationships, reinforcing toxic masculinity and perpetuating unhealthy relationship dynamics. • **Misleading and Manipulative Message** – It encourages the idea that physical intimacy alone can dictate emotional commitment, which is both scientifically and psychologically misleading. • **Potential for Encouraging Coercion** – While the post may not directly promote coercion, its implications could be misused to justify manipulative or even non-consensual behavior in relationships.
 https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1888197290235441578	For the past month and a half, many friends have asked me whether I have stopped providing '润学' (migration consultancy). I didn't put my WeChat ID in my bio because I previously had quite a few paid consultations, and I needed time to process them and respond properly. Since so many people have asked, I am putting my WeChat ID up again. However, if you are not seeking a paid consultation, please do not add me, or I will get restricted again.	• **Potential Exploitation & Misleading Consultancy** – The post advertises a paid migration consultancy without transparency regarding expertise, credentials, or potential risks involved in following the advice. If migration is not properly handled, it could result in serious legal and financial consequences for individuals. • **Circumvention of Platform Restrictions** – The mention of being "restricted again" suggests that the person has previously engaged in activity that violated platform rules, raising concerns about the legitimacy of their operations.

		• **Possibility of Financial Exploitation** – Without clear disclosures on the quality and legality of the advice given, there is a risk that individuals could be misled into paying for unverified or unreliable information.
山坞佬观世界✝️ @Hammer_EU_9527 我也不吹牛，也坦诚说一段不堪回首的往事。正如我之前说过的，我谈过很多女朋友，基本都操了，但唯独有一个不让碰。在这之前的21-26岁，我过了性福的五年，在26那年遇见了她。整整两年，26-28岁，都没让碰，每次都是前戏一下，小兄弟在门口干挺，或者帮我撸，就是不让进。平时也比较喜欢PUA，不是说我这件事没做对，就是哪哪不对。我那时候虽然性经历丰富，但为人老实，还是相信时间相处久了自然会好的。直到后来两人矛盾的爆发才知道，她压根看不起我的原生家庭，觉得我父母太穷，还希望我当上门女婿。整整两年，我憋了两年，那两年是我萎靡的两年，那两年的我，只能用两个字来形容，拧巴。最后山坞佬当然还是回归本性，让她滚。所以我常跟推特上的兄弟讲，别来虚的，真喜欢你就会把逼掰开让你操，着急给你生孩子，毛钱都不需要出。我泡过这么多年，恰恰就那两年总花钱，其他时候我没花过钱。 Translate post  2:19 PM · Feb 8, 2025 · **390.4K** Views 54 63 717 288 https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1888110411246882958	I'm not boasting, and I'll candidly share an unpleasant experience. As I have mentioned before, I have dated many girlfriends, and I've basically had sex with all of them—except for one who refused. Before that, between the ages of 21-26, I had five sexually fulfilling years. Then, at 26, I met her. For two whole years, from 26 to 28, she never let me touch her. Every time, we would only engage in foreplay, my 'little brother' would stand firm at the entrance, or she would help me by hand, but she never let me enter. She also liked to PUA me, constantly pointing out things I did wrong. At the time, although I had plenty of sexual experience, I was still naive and believed that time and companionship would naturally change things. Later, after a major argument, I finally learned that she looked down on my family background and thought my parents were too poor. She even wanted me to become a 'live-in son-in-law.' For two years, I endured this. Those were my two most miserable years. During those years, I can describe myself in just two words: conflicted and repressed. In the end, I returned to my true self and told her to get lost. This is why I always tell my followers: don't fall for tricks. If a woman truly loves you, she will spread her legs for you, be eager to bear your child, and won't ask for a single cent. I've dated for so many years, and ironically, the only time I ever spent money was during those two years. Other than that, I never had to spend money.	• **Misogynistic & Objectifying Language** – The post repeatedly reduces women to sexual objects and promotes the idea that a woman's love is measured by her willingness to have sex. The phrasing is explicitly derogatory and disrespectful toward women. • **Promotion of Harmful Gender Norms** – It reinforces a toxic narrative that women owe men sex if they are in a relationship, which is a dangerous and deeply flawed perspective. It dismisses female autonomy and consent. • **Encouragement of Manipulative & Exploitative Mindsets** – The post implies that love and relationships should be transactional, promoting a view that if a woman does not engage in sex immediately, she is 'playing games' rather than making a personal choice. • **Class Discrimination & Prejudice** – The post frames the woman's rejection as an attack on his social class, but then dehumanizes her for wanting financial security. It perpetuates a classist view that looks down on financial considerations in relationships while simultaneously using wealth or power as a measure of a man's worth. • **Toxic Masculinity & Entitlement** – The author expresses deep resentment for not

		being able to have sex, portraying himself as a victim while promoting the idea that women owe men physical intimacy.
 https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1888021311894458512	Emphasize this: There is no friendship between men and women! If you want to fuck her, just do it. Stop with the ‘let’s be friends first, then lovers’ nonsense, and don’t believe in ‘feelings developing over time.’ The more fake you act, the easier it is for women to manipulate you. If you’re getting close to a woman, it’s ultimately because you want to fuck her. Men should be more direct—have some aggression and fuck her as soon as possible. That’s the most masculine and responsible thing to do! Did everyone understand?	• **Advocacy of Sexual Coercion & Disregard for Consent** – The post blatantly encourages men to be aggressive in their pursuit of women, disregarding the importance of mutual consent. The phrase **"趁早把她日了" (fuck her as soon as possible)** dangerously implies entitlement to a woman’s body, which is an endorsement of predatory behavior. • **Misogynistic & Dehumanizing Perspective** – The post reduces women to sexual objects, dismissing any notion of genuine friendship or emotional connection. It portrays relationships purely as a conquest rather than a mutual partnership. • **Toxic Masculinity & Promotion of Harmful Gender Stereotypes** – The notion that **"having aggression"** and **"fucking her as soon as possible"** is **"the most masculine and responsible thing"** reinforces an extremely toxic idea of masculinity. It pressures men to behave in a domineering, forceful manner rather than fostering respect and emotional intelligence in relationships. • **Encouragement of Manipulative & Harmful Social Behaviors** – The post portrays relationships as a power struggle, claiming that men who approach women respectfully are being "manipulated." This promotes a mindset where men see women as adversaries rather than equal partners.

		• **Dangerous Advice for Young & Impressionable Readers** – Given that this was posted publicly and appears to have a following, it poses a serious risk by encouraging young men to adopt coercive and aggressive behaviors in their interactions with women.
 https://x.com/Hammer_EU_9527/status/1888014812829073498	Having sex on the first meeting was also my principle when I was younger 😊 Talking about anything else is meaningless.	• **Promotion of Sexual Coercion & Disregard for Consent** – The phrase **"必须操" (must have sex)** implies a sense of entitlement to sex on the first meeting, disregarding mutual consent and the natural development of relationships. This can encourage coercive behaviors, which are ethically and legally unacceptable. • **Objectification & Lack of Respect for Women** – By suggesting that **"talking about anything else is meaningless"**, the post reduces relationships to purely sexual encounters, devaluing emotional connection, mutual respect, and communication. • **Harmful Social Messaging & Toxic Influence** – The casual and seemingly humorous tone (😊) normalizes a mindset that pressures people (especially men) to prioritize immediate sexual encounters over meaningful relationships, potentially influencing young and impressionable individuals in harmful ways. • **Potential Encouragement of Risky & Irresponsible Behavior** – Promoting the idea that **sex should always happen on the first meeting** disregards important considerations like safety, emotional well-

		being, and mutual comfort, which are crucial in healthy relationships.